	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/945,360	CHAO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1743
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Maureen M. Wallenhorst</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Diane Reed (Appl. Rep.)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 June 2004 Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
ii res, provide a bilor decomption.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GI Applicant's Representative indicated that no response to the the application is to go abandoned.		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		